teachers who teach on the weekends. That enabled me to say that this particular program addressed the local needs.

Q. I understand the distinction. Now, let's go back to Page 12 and the program on March 1, 1991.

I need to broaden my question.

You would agree, would you not, that the matters discussed there relate to education in Dade County and are properly categorized as matters dealing with education or schools?

- A. Mr. Mullin, can you give me the page number?
- Q. Page 12. We're talking about schools there, aren't' we?
- A. Uh-huh. Well, I guess I see a distinction between ministering and literacy programs.
- Q. Would you think the matters discussed on that program are matters worth discussing?
- A. Well, the matters that appear to be discussed in the summary on Page 12 is his ministry with inner-city schools and the spirit of apathy and lack of self esteem and drugs within the youth within the schools.

I assume he's talking about public schools,
25 is that correct?

Salad tx.8 pt 3

Q. I don't know. All I know is what is written here.

Do you have an opinion as to whether a discussion of the use of rap music to communicate to youth is a worthwhile matter to be discussed on television?

- A. The use of rap music to --
- Q. To communicate a positive message to youth, does that strike you as something worthwhile?
- A. I think the use of rap music in communication is a commonly used strategy. It's not clear to me what then this particular summary this particular program on Page 12 was really discussing.

It talked about -- My assumption based on this of what they were discussing were the problems of inner-city schools.

Q. Would you look at Page 29 of the exhibit, the exhibit being Trinity Exhibit 23, the second full paragraph, the program broadcast on July 9, 1990.

I ask you to rise above the use of the word podiatric when pediatric is what was meant and familiarize yourself with that, if you would.

Does that address an educational topic, the use of music?

A. Yes, it does in my opinion.

- Q. Look at Page 31, the program description at the top of the page broadcast on November 16, 1990.

  Would you just look over that?

  A. Yes, sir.

  Q. Do you know Clay Foster?
  - Q. You would agree, would you not, that the program described there addressed a local educational need?
  - A. Yes, I would.

Α.

No, I do not.

Q. Look, if you would, at the indication of the duration of that program, an hour 59 minutes and 49 seconds, which I'm going to translate as two hours.

Do you know of any other commercial station in Miami or Fort Lauderdale that has ever devoted two hours to a discussion of a matter of this sort?

- A. Could you be more specific by a matter of this sort?
- Q. Do you know of any other program in Miami or Fort Lauderdale that's ever devoted two hours to discussing the "Listen to Children" program of the Community Participation Department of the Dade County public school?
  - A. This particular program, no, I do not.

Salad Ex. 8 px 15

- Q. Do you know of any other commercial station when I say commercial, I'm excluding Channel 17 and I'm excluding Channel 2.
  - A. Oh, okay.

- Q. Do you know of any other commercial station that's ever devoted two hours to discussing educational issues of any kind specific to Dade County?
  - A. At one -- In one program?
- 10 Q. Yes, in one program.
  - A. In one program, off the top of my head, no, but I am not comfortable in saying that there has not ever been and I think if I could research that, I could find the answer to that.
  - Q. Would you look at Page 45 of the exhibit, the program description beginning at the bottom of the page and carrying over to Page 46, broadcast on May the 6th, 1988.
    - A. Yes, sir.
  - Q. That's another two hour program. Would you agree that that addresses a local educational need in discussing magnet schools?
- 23 A. Yes, sir, I would.
  - Q. Do you know Diane Carr?
- 25 A. No, I do not.

Would you look at Paragraph 6 of your Q. 1 2 Declaration. You refer there to Miami-Dade Community College. 3 Yes, sir. 4 A. Is Miami-Dade Community College referred 5 to, to your knowledge, in the programming of other 6 stations in the Miami or Fort Lauderdale area? 7 Yes, sir. 8 Let's focus on the particular time period 9 at issue here which is calendar years 1987 through 10 1991. Miami-Dade Community College did not go 11 uncovered on Miami or Fort Lauderdale television, is 12 13 that correct? 14 I don't know about Fort Lauderdale. Α. Let's stick to Miami. 15 Q. 16 Certainly in Miami. Α. 17 Q. It got coverage? It got coverage. Α. Q. Would you look at Paragraph 4 of your Declaration beginning on Line 3. You refer to topics that would have to be addressed if one were to address local educational needs.

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Salad Ex. 8px

- A. I think that they were covered in various ways and in various programs throughout the time period.
- Q. In the last two lines of Page 1 of your Declaration you refer to a recent bond issue. Does that mean in the calendar year 1993?
  - A. No.

- Q. '92?
- A. '91. Actually, the bond -- The bond issue was passed in I would have to check back. I don't recall, but it was --
  - Q. Are you sure it wasn't in 1992?
- A. To tell you the truth, I don't recall. The school building program has been ongoing for a couple of years and the bond issue was passed before that.
- Q. Would it help you if you thought it was on the ballot at the time of a general election?
- A. No, it wasn't. The Miami-Dade Community College had a referendum at that time.
  - Q. In 1992?
- A. Yes.
- Q. But that's not what you're referring to?
  - A. That's not what I'm referring to here. And I reference that because of the time period of that we're referring to in here, within the time period

Salcd Ex. 8 px 18

but earlier. MR. MULLIN: I have no further questions. Thank you very much. MS. ROBINSON: No redirect. (Whereupon, the deposition was concluded at or about 4:35 p.m.) 

Salad Ex.8 px 19

AND FURTHER DEPONENT SAITH NOT KATHLEEN SHEA SWORN TO AND SUBSCRIBED TO before me on this day of , 1993, in the City of Fort Lauderdale, Broward County, Florida. Notary Public - State of Florida 

------ 4557-45 TMA 12051 467-6671

### CERTIFICATE OF OATH

STATE OF FLORIDA )
COUNTY OF BROWARD )

I, the undersigned authority, certify that KATHLEEN SHEA personally appeared before me and was duly sworn.

WITNESS my hand and official seal this 5th day of October, 1993.

JODY L. WARREN

Notary Public - State of Florida My Commission Expires: 2/18/95

LICOY L WARREN
MY CHEMISSION # CC 080157
EPPRES February 18, 1995

# <u>CERTIFICATE</u>

STATE OF FLORIDA:

COUNTY OF BROWARD:

I, JODY L. WARREN, being a Shorthand Reporter and a Notary Public for the State of Florida at Large, do hereby certify that I was authorized to and did stenographically report the foregoing deposition; and that said transcript is a true record of the testimony given by the witness.

I further certify that I am not an attorney or counsel of any of the parties, nor a relative or employee of any attorney or counsel connected with the action, nor financially interested in the action.

Dated this 5th day of October, 1993.

1 0

JODY L. WARREN

STATE OF FLORIDA )
COUNTY OF BROWARD )

The foregoing certificate was acknowledged before me this 5th day of October, 1993, by

Jody L. Warren, who is personally known to me.

Notary Public - State of Florida

Salad Ex. 9 ps. 1

## Before the FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20554

In Re Applications of

MM Docket No. 93-75

TRINITY BROADCASTING OF FLORIDA, INC.

BRCT-911001LY

For Renewal of License for Television Station WHFT (TV) Miami, Florida

GLENDALE BROADCASTING COMPANY BPCT-911227KE

633 South Federal Highway Fort Lauderdale, Florida September 13, 1993 Monday, 10:10 a.m.

### DEPOSITION OF OSVALDO SOTO

Taken before Jody L. Warren, Shorthand Reporter, Notary Public for the State of Florida at Large, pursuant to Notice of Taking Deposition filed in the above cause.

CCPY

Secure of January Regulary OEC 3-1993 Federal Communactions Countsision Docket No. 93.75 Exhibit St. 9 Presented by Hours Dispositien

Salad Ex. 4 pg 2

1	APPEARANCES:
2	
3	MULLIN, RHYNE, EMMONS & TOPEL
4	By: EUGENE F. MULLIN, ESQUIRE on behalf of Trinity.
5	RONDA R. ROBINSON, ESQUIRE
6	on behalf of SALAD.
7	
8	
9	
10	I N D E X
11	Witness Direct Cross
12	OSVALDO SOTO 3
13	
14	
15	
16	EXHIBITS Trinity Exhibit 15 and 16
17	Trintiy Exhibit 17 9
18	SALAD Exhibit 4 48
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

(Thereupon, Trinity Exhibit 15 and 16 were marked for identification by the court reporter.)
Thereupon:

#### OSVALDO SOTO

was called as a witness and, having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows:

BY MR. MULLIN:

- Q. State your name for the record, sir.
- A. My name is Osvaldo Soto. I'm an attorney with offices at 2151 Lejeune Road, Suite 310, Coral Gables, Florida.
- Q. And could you tell us briefly about your background while we're waiting for your resume,
  Mr. Soto?
- A. I was born in Havana, Cuba in 1929. I'm
  63. I was a lawyer in Havana. I came to this
  country in 1960, exactly October 9, 1960.

At the beginning in this country I got involved in matters relating to the liberation of Cuba. I was a member of the Cuban revolution counsel that under the protection of the American corp. invaded Cuba,

I came back and I worked with the American government for around nine months and I served at that point in time -- I had a family to support and I

Solad ex. 9 pg

went to do what I did first in my life when I got out of law school, I did not have it, I was not of age to practice law, so I went to teach business law at the University of Havana, business accountant college.

I went to teach at the State of Wyoming one year. From that time I went to the State of Virginia and I was assistant professor at what is called the University System in Virginia and in 1963, I think, '62 or '63 I joined the faculty at Iowa University in Ames, Iowa where I was an assistant and associate and then a full professor, a member of the graduate faculty.

In 1973, I decided to come back to Miami.

I asked for a sabbatical at the University and I took
the Bar in 1975. I was part of a special program of
the University of Florida for Cuban lawyers and I was
admitted to the Florida Bar the year I finished, '75,
and I have been a practicing attorney here since
1975.

During the last - I have been president of the Cuban American Bar Association. I have been president of the Hispanic Bar Association, which is a national organization. During the last seven or eight years, I've been president of the Spanish American League better known as SALAD.

Q. Mr. Soto, I'm going to have marked or it has been marked for identification as Trinity Exhibit 15 your deposition notice in this proceeding and as Trinity Exhibit 16 the subpoena that was served upon you attached to which is your Declaration.

Concentrating first on Number 15, the deposition notice, did you receive that from your attorney?

A. Yes, I did.

- Q. And looking at 16, the subpoena, did you also receive that from your attorney?
  - A. Yes, I did.
- Q. Would you look at Exhibit A on the subpoena, third page, and I direct your attention to the references at the top of the page in paragraphs numbered one, two and three, the top of the page concerning documents. Would you read that over to yourself, please?
  - A. Yes. You want me to read it on the record?
- Q. No. I just want you to read it to yourself because I want to ask you some questions about it.
  - A. Yes.
- Q. Have you provided to me all of the documents that come within the scope of paragraphs one, two, and three?

1 Α. No. You have not? 2 Q. 3 Α. No. Would you identify for me then which Q. 4 documents you have not provided that are within the 5 scope of one, two and three? 6 7 Α. None. 8 You say there are none? I have not provided you with any of those 9 The only one that is coming that is being 10 documents. faxed at that point is my resume. 11 Perhaps I misunderstood you. 12 0. My question was, have you provided me all 13 14 of the documents within the scope of one, two and 15 three? 16 Α. No. You have not? 17 0. I have not. 18 Α. What documents do you have that I don't 19 Q. have? 20 Well, I have the documents that have been 21 the correspondence and documents that I have reviewed 22 with my attorney. 23 Yes. Let's identify them more precisely. 24 Q.

When did you first become aware of the name Trinity

## Broadcasting?

- A. It was around three years ago, two years, three years ago. It could change, month more or month less. I think a good guess would be three years ago.
- Q. Let's go to three years ago then.

  Beginning three years ago, did you start accumulating documents that relate to Trinity Broadcasting or WHFT or Channel 45?
- A. During this time I have been in touch with my attorney and I have been accumulating the documents and pleadings. Being an attorney, he has provided me with the pleadings he has filed, et cetera, et cetera.
- Q. When you say your attorney, you refer to Mr. Honig?
  - A. That's correct.
- Q. Any other person you're referring to as your attorney?
  - A. No, no. I'm referring to Mr. Honig.

If you would excuse me, this is not the first time I work with Mr. Honig on matters pertaining to TV or radio stations. Mr. Honig has been an attorney for the Spanish American League Against Discrimination three or four times.

Q. The only documents I'm interested in are those that refer to Trinity or WHFT or Channel 45.

Now, some of the documents to which you refer are pleadings that have been filed at the Federal Communications Commission and are a matter of public record, is that correct?

A. Yes.

O. Let us exclude those.

Are there other documents that you have not provided to me other than those that have been filed at the FCC and are a matter of public record?

- A. Yes. There have been previous communication between my attorney and myself.
- Q. And where are those documents? Why haven't you provided those to me?
- A. Because I understand they're protected under the client/attorney privilege. They're confidential and I do not have to make those available.
- Q. You're aware, Mr. Soto, that we subpoensed the documents, that your attorney interposed an objection based upon work product privilege and that by formal order of the administrative law judge that objection was overruled. You are aware of that?
  - A. It is my understanding that the objection

Saladtv.9 pg

that was overruled had to do with the witnesses. I am the president of the organization that has filed this lawsuit and as such, I think I am not the normal witness. I am a party to this lawsuit.

- Q. Let's cut it short. I will ask you now, will you provide to me all of the documents that are described by paragraphs one, two and three in that subpoena or that are within the scope of paragraphs one, two and three that are now in your position?
- A. I will provide them if the court such orders.
- Q. And I suggest to you that the court has, in fact, ordered that they be produced and I can show you the order or do you need to see the order?
- A. I have been advised by my attorney as of this point that that has not been the case.

MR. MULLIN: Could I have this marked as Trinity 17?

(Thereupon, the above-referred to document was marked as Trinity Exhibit 17 for identification by the court reporter.)

Q. (By Mr. Mullin) Mr. Soto. I show you a two page document that has been marked by the reporter as Trinity 17. It's a memorandum opinion and order in this proceeding issued on September 1,

1993, released on September 2, 1993 with FCC identification number FCC 93M - 564 signed by Joseph Chachkin, administrative law judge, and I will ask you if you have previously read that order?

- A. I don't remember reading this order.
- Q. Would you take the time to read it now, sir? Read it to yourself.
  - A. I have read it.

- Q. Now that you have remember the order, sir, I ask you again if you will now provide to me all of the documents responsive to paragraphs one, two and three in the subpoena duces tecum?
- A. If I understand correctly, in Number 4 of the order signed by the judge it says, however, any such protection was waived by SALAD's distribution of the document to persons having no connection with SALAD.

I understand and I will discuss it with my attorney and if my attorney says that I am supposed to be - I would not be included in this exception, I would provide the documents, but it is my understanding, again based on what I am reading here, that SALAD or any member of the SALAD, in this case I am the chairman of SALAD, I am not included in the order of the judge.

MS. ROBINSON: For purposes of the clarification, perhaps Mr. Soto is not aware that such documents - that some documents have been disseminated. Perhaps if he's advised of that --

MR. MULLIN: I'm happy to tell Mr. Soto what documents have been provided to me and they have been provided by Mr. Honig.

Q. (By Mr. Mullin) The documents that have been provided to me consist of the following, a letter which we have identified in this proceeding as the Colleagues and Friends letter which I believe has already been identified as Trinity Exhibit 5.

MS. ROBINSON: Could the witness see that?

I may not have an extra copy.

A. To --

Q. Just a minute, Mr. Soto. We want to be precise for this. We're setting the stage for a call to the judge in Washington who, if he can be reached on the telephone, is prepared to rule.

I show you what has been previously marked here as Trinity Exhibit 5 and I tell you that has been provided and I'll also tell you that we have been provided a series of documents each of which is headed with a caption or a cover page Illustrative

Programming on Channel 45 dealing with a variety of topics. Miss Robinson will show you those.

MS. ROBINSON: They're all based upon the subject matter.

- Q. Now, that is all we've been provided,
  Mr. Soto. We have not been provided with any other
  correspondence. We have not been provided with any
  notes that you may have made or documents that refer
  to Channel 45 or WHFT or that reflect any
  communications between you and any other person
  concerning Channel 45 or any documents that otherwise
  refer to Channel 45 and I gather there are such
  documents other than those that have been provided to
  us, isn't that right?
  - A. No.

- Q. No other documents?
- A. All the documents are the documents that you have shown me and the pleadings that I told you that I have received from time to time provided by Mr. Honig, but any other document between Mr. Honig and myself regarding Trinity, I don't have it.
- Q. You don't have any correspondence with Mr. Honig regarding Trinity?
- A. There might be one or two letters. I don't remember to be honest with you. I would have to look

into my file and determine, but as far as I remember, the only documents that I have seen regarding Trinity besides my conversation with Mr. Honig are those that have now been shown to me by you and by my attorney present here.

- Q. You've never taken any notes regarding Channel 45 programming?
- A. I have seen many times Channel 45 programs.

  I haven't taken notes of the times that I have seen the programs.
- Q. You have no correspondence or notes regarding Trinity programming with any of the following persons, if you'll permit me a multiple question, I'm simply going to read down the list of SALAD witnesses, Dr. Bradford Brown, Andrew Cherry, Charles Cherry, II, Sherwood Dubose, Donald Marvin Jones, Johnny McMillian, Carlton Moore, Dr. Kathleen Shea, Rodney Thaxton or William Thompson, you have no notes or correspondence or written materials relating to any of those persons?
  - A. No.

- Q. None whatsoever?
- 23 A. None whatsoever.
  - Q. You've never done a calculation of the amount of programming in any particular category by